### **APPENDIX 1**

**Denbighshire County Council** 

# Draft SPG: Recreational Public Open Space

**Consultation Report** 

# Draft Supplementary Planning Guidance: Recreational Public Open Space

## **Consultation Report** February 2017

#### 1. Background

- 1.1 This report accompanies the draft Supplementary Planning Guidance: 'Recreational Public Open Space' which will be submitted to Denbighshire County Council (DCC) Planning Committee when considering adoption of the draft Supplementary Planning Guidance (draft document) on 15<sup>th</sup> March 2017.
- 1.2 Supplementary Planning Guidance and Site Development Briefs should only be adopted by a local planning authority and, hence, regarded as a material consideration if they have been subject to comprehensive public consultation.

#### 2. **Consultation undertaken**

- 2.1 This public consultation ran from 5th December 2016 to 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2017 for a period of 9 weeks. The draft document was available at the Council's website (www.denbighshire.gov.uk) and the Local Development Plan website for interested parties to view. Hardcopies were also available at Council libraries and one-stop-shops. Comments as part of the public consultation could be made by letter, email or comments form to the Strategic Planning & Housing team by the 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2017.
- 2.2 All City, Town and Community Councils were consulted along with key stakeholders and people registered on the LDP database.

#### 3. **Consultation responses**

- 3.1 The Council received 7 representations from interest groups and members of the Public; including Natural Resources Wales, AONB, North Wales Wildlife Trust, Anwyl Construction Company Limited, The Coal Authority, The Canal and River Trust and a comprehensive Health Impact Assessment was undertaken with members of departments within DCC and NRW facilitated by Public Health Wales.
- 3.2 Copies of all public consultation responses can be obtained by contacting the Planning Policy team in Denbigh by phone: 01824 706916 or by email: ldp@denbighshire.gov.uk. A summary of the consultation responses are included in the attached table at the end of this report.

#### 4. Changes proposed to the draft document

- 4.1 After carefully considering the representations received, Officers are recommending a number of proposed changes, amendments or amplifications as laid out in individual submissions. The main changes proposed include:
  - More clarification on the types of open space, specifically Amenity Greenspace
  - Change of pictures to include more best practice examples
  - Changes to the Open Space Design Principles to include more detail and consideration of the well-being benefits to communities
  - Include guidance on other forms of open space provision such as green corridors and natural and semi natural greenspace- not just amenity greenspace, outdoor sports facilities and provision for children and young people.
  - Include pictures of best practice examples of naturalistic play areas and alternative/ imaginative forms of provision away from the traditional swings and slides.
  - Change definition of Open Space away from a 'sports centric' approach and consider other forms of provision such as fitness trails, mountain bike trails, skate parks, public parks and gardens, allotments etc.
  - Consider importance of other roles of open space such as rainwater infiltration facilities, flood storage areas through the implementation of Green Infrastructure (GI) such as SUDS. Provision of GI design guidance.
  - Consideration that open space provision doesn't always have to be provided on site and developer contributions can go towards other forms of open space such as improving Public Rights of Way/ green corridors, active travel, natural green space etc.
- 4.2 Proposed changes are shown as highlighted or strikethrough text in the attached draft SPG document.
- 4.3 This SPG is directly linked to the Planning Obligations SPG which was adopted on 16<sup>th</sup> November 2016. Text from the Planning Obligations SPG has been repeated in this Open Space SPG due to the links between the two regarding providing open space in new developments. Specifically, this includes sections: 5.4 Thresholds for Provision, 6. Mitigation of Development, 7. Management of Development and 8. Delivery Trigger. A response from the North Wales Wildlife Trust from the consultation of the Planning Obligations SPG, is also directly related to this SPG, therefore it has been included as part of this consultation.

# Draft SPG: Recreational Public Open Space

Summaries of representations received and Council's responses

| Name,<br>Organisation                                   | Summary of Representation  | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document |
|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
| Adrian Lloyd<br>Jones, Wildlife<br>Trust North<br>Wales | <b>Taken from the Planning</b><br><b>Obligations SPG November 2016</b><br>The NWWT is generally happy with the<br>guidance but questions why within 11.5<br>the tables showing <i>Standards for Open</i><br><i>Space Provision</i> and <i>Requirements for</i><br><i>Open Space Provision</i> only list outdoor<br>sport fields and children's spaces.<br>There seems to be no standards or<br>requirements for other spaces such as<br>informal natural green space. If the<br>table is supposed to provide a guide<br>for these other types of green space as<br>well it should state this. If there are<br>indeed no standards or requirements<br>for other types of green spaces, in<br>particular, informal green space, it is<br>recommended that these are<br>developed as easy access to local<br>natural areas is recognised as an<br>important factor in quality of life and<br>helps achieve multiple aims of the<br>Well-being of Future Generations<br>(Wales) Act 2015. It is noted that a<br>'comprehensive open space<br>assessment' is being undertaken by<br>the Council. Perhaps this assessment<br>will help address this issue. | The Council's Local<br>Development Plan sets<br>standards for the provision<br>of open space for outdoor<br>sport; children's equipped<br>playspace and children's<br>informal space in relation<br>to new development.<br>These standards are<br>repeated in the draft SPG.<br>Planning Policy Wales<br>clarifies that standards for<br>provision should be set in<br>the LDP.<br>The LDP does not<br>currently set standards for<br>other types of open space.<br>However, a<br>comprehensive open<br>space survey is currently<br>being undertaken and the<br>results of this work will<br>form the basis for<br>reviewing open space<br>standards as part of the<br>wider LDP Review. | No changes proposed                |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation  | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document   |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| AONB                  | The Joint Committee supports the SPG<br>in securing the provision of well-<br>designed public open space in new<br>developments, but would suggest that<br>additional emphasis be given to the<br>need for such spaces to designed and<br>landscaped in a way which respects<br>and is well integrated into the<br>surrounding landscape too. This is<br>particularly important for sites in and<br>around the AONB.<br>The provision of less formal green<br>areas for open air recreation by local<br>communities can also have wider<br>landscape and biodiversity benefits<br>and the Countryside Service would be<br>happy to provide advice for developers<br>if required. In addition, existing green<br>space provision or opportunities in the<br>wider area around a development<br>should also be considered as part of<br>the design process to ensure an<br>integrated approach to the provision of<br>new or improved facilities which are | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | <ul> <li>9.3.2 It is very important that the space is designed in such a way that complements the character of the area and is well integrated into the surrounding environment or neighbourhood.</li> <li>9.8.1 important that they (green corridors) are provided where the opportunity arises to improve links between open spaces or as routes to local facilities.</li> <li>9.3.1 Amenity greenspace areas are important features in many areas. They can be maintained grassed areas or areas where they have been left to grow wild and natural in order to conserve the biodiversity of the area, see pictures 1 and 2.</li> </ul> |

| Name,<br>Organisation                                       | Summary of Representation   | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document |
|---|---|---|------------------------------------|
|   | well connected to the local community.<br>Finally, it should be recognised that the<br>more informal countryside sites in and<br>around the AONB which are managed<br>by the Countryside Service also<br>provide a valuable recreational<br>resource for local communities."  |   |                                    |
| Mike Pender,<br>Anwyl<br>Construction<br>Company<br>Limited | Concerned that the policies and criteria<br>make it difficult to satisfactorily comply<br>with the various polices and still<br>provide an attractive residential<br>development: It is difficult to meet:<br>-site capacity- number of dwellings<br>allocated<br>-public open space- provide full on-site<br>POS provision<br>-Landscaping- boundary and internal<br>landscaping | The intention of the draft<br>SPG is to help ensure that<br>development contributes<br>towards the provision of<br>the necessary measures<br>required to mitigate its<br>impact. This is in line with<br>the approach clearly set<br>out in policy BSC3 of the<br>Council's adopted Local<br>Development Plan and<br>reflects national policy set<br>out in Planning Policy<br>Wales (Edition 8),<br>paragraph 3.7. The SPG | No changes proposed                |

### Appendix 1 – Summary of representations received on draft document

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation  | Council's response   | Changes proposed to draft document  |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|
|                       |  | has been produced to<br>provide guidance and<br>clarity on the range of<br>potential requirements.<br>In line with national policy<br>and legislation, the SPG<br>makes it clear that any<br>requirements will be fairly<br>and reasonably related in<br>scale to the development<br>and its resulting impact.<br>The SPG also<br>acknowledges (paragraph<br>7.11) that there may be<br>circumstances where<br>requirements may affect<br>the viability of the scheme.<br>In this situation, however, it<br>is for the applicant to<br>demonstrate why the<br>requirements are<br>inappropriate, including the<br>submission of a viability<br>assessment. |   |
|                       | Amenity greenspace-<br>Paragraph 6.35 refers to Amenity<br>greenspace, but there is no definition<br>of or reference to this in the adopted<br>LDP Policy BSC-11, or the three types | Agreed that there is no<br>definition of Amenity<br>greenspace in the adopted<br>LDP Policy BSC-11,<br>however, Technical Advice   | 9.3.1 Amenity greenspace is an area of<br>green space that is used for informal<br>recreation. Amenity Green Spaces are<br>important features in many areas. They can<br>be maintained grassed areas or areas |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation   | Council's response   | Changes proposed to draft document  |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|
|                       | of POS outlined in the FIT standards of<br>2.4ha/1000 population. An explanation<br>is needed here, including the<br>requirement that there 'must' be more<br>than one point of access. | Note 16: Sport, Recreation<br>and Open Space, which<br>supplements Policy set out<br>in PPW defines these<br>terms and have been<br>included in this SPG.<br>These typologies will also<br>be used in the Open<br>Space Assessment and<br>will help to inform Policies<br>in the Review of the LDP.<br>The Amenity greenspace<br>described here would be<br>equivalent to the children's<br>informal space (para 5.44) | where they have been left to grow wild and<br>natural in order to conserve the biodiversity<br>of the area, see pictures 1 and 2.<br>5.4.4 Children's informal space has been<br>defined as informal recreation spaces,<br>communal green spaces in and around<br>housing, and village greens which are<br>suitable for play. This can also be used<br>describe the term 'Amenity greenspace',<br>however not all Amenity greenspace is<br>suitable for play. |
|                       |   | The FIT standards are<br>referenced in the adopted<br>LDP but will be revised<br>upon completion of the<br>Open Space Assessment<br>to inform the LDP Review.<br>The new FIT standards<br>(2016) have Benchmark<br>Guidelines for informal<br>outdoor space which<br>includes Amenity<br>greenspace as a typology,   |   |

### Appendix 1 – Summary of representations received on draft document

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation   | Council's response   | Changes proposed to draft document |
|-----------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
|                       |   | which is in line with TAN 16.  |                                    |
|                       |   | Most amenity greenspaces<br>will have more than one<br>point of access naturally as<br>they 'help to define and<br>separate urban areas and<br>promote visual amenity'<br>(para.6.36). If they happen<br>to be fenced off, there<br>needs to be more than one                                      |                                    |
|                       | Bonds- Paragraph 5.15 refers to the<br>Councils requirement for a developer<br>to provide a bond that ensures<br>completion of the POS provision before<br>the bond is released.<br>Bonds are not required where long<br>established and reputable house<br>builder is involved. Bonds have been<br>proven to be an administrative problem<br>and the Council have been slow to<br>release bongs well after the POS has<br>been provided on site to the<br>satisfaction of the Council. Either<br>Bonds are dispensed with or the<br>Council should speed up it's procedure<br>for their release. | point of access<br>There needs to be one rule<br>for all developers including<br>long established and<br>reputable house builders.<br>We will be reviewing the<br>process of Bond collection<br>and release within the<br>Council in the near future<br>to ensure that their release<br>is timely. | No changes proposed                |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation   | Council's response   | Changes proposed to draft document   |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
|                       | Innovative POS- the document<br>provides photographs and details of<br>play areas that have been equipped<br>imaginatively and differently to<br>previously. It would be helpful if the<br>Council provided further details of the<br>economics of providing the play<br>equipment as illustrated at Ffordd<br>Newydd, St. Asaph i.e.<br>-date of provision<br>-site area<br>-costs involved<br>-funding sources<br>-maintenance regime<br>-whether or not as part of an existing<br>housing development or part of a<br>new/proposed residential development | It would not be appropriate<br>to provide such detailed<br>information in a guidance<br>document as each site will<br>have different constraints<br>and characteristics and<br>open space will need to be<br>designed and implemented<br>on an individual site basis.<br>Costs of provision will also<br>vary over time and for the<br>document to remain as<br>relevant as possible it<br>would not be appropriate<br>for costs to be included. | Pictures of Ffordd Newydd, St. Asaph taken<br>out and best practice examples provided.   |
|                       | Paragraph 6.41 refers to TAN 16 and<br>-'wheeled play area'<br>-'environmental play'<br>Definition and explanation of these<br>terms to be provided as part of the<br>document  | TAN 16 describes<br>'wheeled play areas and<br>'environmental play' in<br>paragraph 7.41 but further<br>explanation will be<br>provided in the glossary in<br>the document.  | Definition of wheeled play and<br>environmental play to be provided at the<br>start of the document in the 1. Glossary of<br>Terms:<br>Wheeled play area refers to a provision for<br>the county's BMX, skateboarding and in-line<br>skate community.<br>Environmental play- Provision for meeting<br>children's need for play with natural<br>elements. |
|                       | Stakeholder Involvement-<br>It would have been helpful if the draft<br>SPG has been introduced at an earlier  | Document to provide<br>additional guidance only.<br>Extensive consultation   | No changes proposed  |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation   | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document   |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
|                       | stage as part of a key Stakeholder<br>Event where some of the proposals<br>and issues surrounding POS provision<br>and maintenance could have been<br>explored before approval as a draft by<br>the Council.    | undertaken Health Impact<br>Assessment (HIA) event<br>held on 17/1/2017   |  |
|                       | The SPG should not be approved by<br>the Council as a material consideration<br>in determining planning applications<br>until the proposals and terms set out<br>have been properly explained and<br>justified. | Comments noted  | Changes done to those sections outlined in this document.                            |
|                       | Paragraph 6.594 'where equipment is<br>required, there should be a minimum of<br><u>5 pieces'</u>   | This will be revised as it is<br>appreciated that some<br>smaller sites may not be<br>able to contain 5 pieces of<br>equipment and that fewer<br>larger pieces of equipment<br>of a higher quality may be<br>better for provision for<br>children and young people,<br>than 5 smaller pieces. The<br>context of the site<br>development is an<br>important consideration<br>when designing play<br>areas. | Para 9.5.11 Where equipment is required,<br>there should be a minimum of fivepieces. |
| Chris<br>MacArthur on | If any site allocations document proposes to allocate any areas for open  | Comments noted  | No changes proposed  |

| Name,<br>Organisation                             | Summary of Representation   | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document |
|---|---|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| behalf of Mark<br>Harrison- The<br>Coal Authority | space then it will be necessary to<br>consider ground instability. Some<br>mining legacy features such as mine<br>entries, can pose a serious risk to public<br>safety and will need to be remediated<br>even to allow use as public open space.  |                     |                                    |
| Angharad Wyn<br>Crump, NRW                        | NRW welcomes Denbighshire CC's<br>SPG on Open Space, which<br>recognises the importance of planning<br>places to benefit the wellbeing of local<br>communities.<br>Section 2.11 very clearly sets out the<br>diverse range of functions and benefits<br>that the planning and design of open<br>space can provide, which extend<br>across social, environmental and<br>cultural policy areas, which collectively<br>support Wellbeing. The guidance<br>covers the topic areas of the subject<br>quite thoroughly, however the guide<br>could do more to address current<br>approaches to open space planning<br>and design which are being applied<br>across Wales through Green<br>Infrastructure (GI) planning.<br>It is considered that the guidance is<br>well presented and our<br>recommendations below are to help<br>strengthen its purpose, scope and use. | Support is welcomed | No changes proposed                |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation  | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document   |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|
|                       | We recommend that you apply the<br>Accessible Natural Green Space<br>(ANG) tool kit methodology for your<br>open space assessment.   | Comments noted.<br>Consideration for<br>application in the Open<br>Space Assessment which<br>is a separate piece of work<br>to this note.   | No changes proposed  |
|                       | General focus: this appears to be<br>dominated by formal sport provision.<br>Evidence suggests that more people<br>take part in walking and green space<br>use at all stages of their lives than<br>participate in activities which require<br>sports provision, and given that sports<br>pitches are more expensive to maintain<br>than accessible natural green space, it<br>suggests that a focus on accessible<br>green space would be more beneficial<br>to more people as well as more cost-<br>effective. | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue.<br>This is a valid point and<br>will be amended in 5.2<br>Definitions of Open Space<br>so there is not a 'sport<br>centric' definition. | <ul> <li>5.2.1 Open space is defined in the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as land laid out as a public garden, or used for the purposes of public recreation, or land which is a disused burial ground. However, in applying the policies in this Guidance, open space should be taken to mean all open space of public value, including, not just land, but also areas of water such as rivers, canals, lakes and reservoirs which offer important opportunities for sport and recreation and can also act as a visual amenity and may have conservation and biodiversity importance.</li> <li>5.2.2 This definition will be used when assessing whether suitable outdoor play space has been provided.</li> <li>5.2.3 Open space is an essential element of a modern everyday life and it is widely recognised that the provision of high quality 'public realm' facilities such as parks and gardens, civic spaces and informal greenspaces are not only highly valued by</li> </ul> |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation   | Council's response                   | Changes proposed to draft document   |
|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Organisation          |   |                                      | <ul> <li>residents of an area, they can assist in the promotion of an area as an attractive place to live, increasing property values and improving local environmental quality.</li> <li>5.2.4 FIT (2016) states that 'in promoting healthy communities, access to high quality open spaces can make an important contribution to health and wellbeing. Such open spaces should not be built on unless any loss is appropriately replaced or outweighed by new provision.</li> <li>5.2.5 Open space also plays an important role in meeting the challenge of climate change and flooding through integrating Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) and providing opportunities for conserving and enhancing the natural environment.</li> <li>9.9.2 Where a financial contribution is sought it can be spent on open space projects of direct benefit to residents of the development. This might include improvements to natural green space when</li> </ul> |
|                       | 4.4.1 <b>Thresholds for provision.</b> Here or elsewhere within the report we | Para 9.2 amended to reflect comment. | appropriate.<br>No changes proposed in this paragraph,<br>however paragraph 9.2 from Open Space  |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation   | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document  |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|
|                       | recommend promoting the retention<br>and enhancement of existing natural<br>greenspace when planning for new<br>development.  |   | design principles states: A well designed<br>recreation facility can provide a positive<br>contribution to a development and area as a<br>whole. It would be successful in<br>encouraging people to use it more<br>frequently as opposed to a poorly designed<br>facility which can become neglected and<br>could create social problems. The provision<br>of open space within new residential<br>development, and enhancement of existing<br>spaces requires co-ordinated planning and<br>design if the full wellbeing benefits for<br>communities – existing and future are to be<br>realised. The following principles collectively<br>provide a way to make resilient planning<br>decisions for open space planning: |
|                       | Multifunctional green space: this<br>document does not place sufficient<br>weight on the ability of green space to<br>deliver multiple functions in the same<br>place, often at the same time.<br>For example, sports pitches may also<br>serve as: rainwater infiltration facilities,<br>flood storage areas and conveyance<br>corridors for floods which exceed the<br>capacity of other drainage systems.<br>Accessible natural green space can<br>deliver all of the above, as well as<br>biodiversity conservation, mental well- | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | <ul> <li>9.6.6 Sports pitches may also serve as:<br/>rainwater infiltration facilities, flood storage<br/>areas and conveyance corridors for floods<br/>which exceed the capacity of other drainage<br/>systems and therefore should be<br/>incorporated into their design.</li> <li>9.9.2 Accessible natural green space can<br/>deliver rainwater infiltration facilities, flood<br/>storage areas and conveyance corridors for<br/>floods which exceed the capacity of other<br/>drainage systems as well as biodiversity</li> </ul>   |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation   | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document   |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
|                       | being, space for exercise, air pollution<br>interception, riparian zone protection,<br>water pollution interception, visual<br>screening and noise amelioration.  |   | conservation, mental well-being, space for<br>exercise, air pollution interception, riparian<br>zone protection, water pollution interception,<br>visual screening and noise amelioration.<br>Therefore, they are important to the overall<br>open space provision and so developer<br>contributions could go towards the<br>enhancement of these areas.   |
|                       | The final document should encourage<br>developers to take advantage of the<br>ability of open space to meet several<br>demands in one place, and should<br>encourage them to use good design to<br>make the most cost-effective use of the<br>non-built parts of their sites. Para 5.11<br>mentions this weakly, and in passing,<br>but 4.51 might be an appropriate place<br>to make these points in the final<br>document.  | Agree Para 4.51 to be<br>amended  | New Paragraph 5.5<br>Developers should take advantage of the<br>ability of open space to meet several<br>demands in one place, and should be<br>encouraged to use good design to make the<br>most cost-effective use of the non-built parts<br>of their new development sites.   |
|                       | Paragraph 4.47 demonstrates<br>insufficient understanding of the multi-<br>functionality of green space. Small<br>developments should be actively<br>encouraged to create green assets<br>which deliver sustainable drainage<br>solutions (SUDS), visual screening,<br>noise attenuation (where applicable),<br>particulate air pollution interception<br>(where applicable) and shade on<br>south-facing aspects, as well as | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | <ul> <li>Paragraph 6.1.1 There may be scope to combine open space and landscaping provision with sustainable urban drainage schemes (SUDS) and habitat creation to enhance biodiversity.</li> <li>5.2.4 FIT (2016) states that 'in promoting healthy communities, access to high quality open spaces can make an important contribution to health and wellbeing. Such open spaces should not be built on unless</li> </ul> |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation  | Council's response   | Changes proposed to draft document   |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
|                       | facilities for informal recreation. This<br>should provide developers with a<br>highly cost-effective way of complying<br>with several constraints at once, and<br>might even completely remove the<br>need for costly grey infrastructure such<br>as storm-water drains.  |  | <ul> <li>any loss is appropriately replaced or<br/>outweighed by new provision.'</li> <li>5.2.5 Open space also plays an important<br/>role in meeting the challenge of climate<br/>change and flooding through integrating<br/>Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems<br/>(SUDS) and other Green infrastructure<br/>benefits and functions and providing<br/>opportunities for conserving and enhancing<br/>the natural environment.</li> </ul> |
|                       | Green space for sheltered housing etc.<br>is recommended in 4.49 and this is to<br>be welcomed. This section could<br>usefully be expanded to cite evidence<br>for the health benefits of green space<br>to vulnerable groups, and to state that<br>the local authority will actively expect<br>such green space to be included in<br>developments aimed at vulnerable<br>users. | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue.  | 9.3.2 POSTnote 538 (October 2016) Green<br>Space and Health, demonstrates that there<br>may be health benefits associated with<br>proximity and access to green space for the<br>82% of the UK's population now living in<br>urban environments. This show that creation<br>and enhancement of greenspace can<br>improve the mental and physical well-being<br>of vulnerable groups.   |
|                       | Mitigation of development: the CCW<br>(now NRW) standards for access to<br>natural green space provide<br>quantitative, evidence-based,<br>defensible recommendations for the<br>provision of green space off-site,<br>including appropriate size and distance<br>criteria. The SPG would be   | Comments noted. The<br>standards here were taken<br>directly from the adopted<br>Planning obligations SPG<br>and cannot be changed or<br>amended in this SPG. This<br>will, however be<br>considered for the LDP | No changes proposed  |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation   | Council's response   | Changes proposed to draft document  |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|
|                       | strengthened, and developers would<br>have information to plan with, if these<br>standards were quoted in para 5.1.   | Review following the<br>evidence provided by the<br>Open Space Audit and<br>Assessment. The NRW<br>standards for accessible<br>natural greenspace have<br>been incorporated into this<br>report. |   |
|                       | <b>Financing:</b> Para 5.15 mentions bonds<br>as the preferred means of securing<br>financial contributions for open space,<br>and this is to be welcomed as a means<br>to ensure green space is created at the<br>outset. However, green space of all<br>kinds must be maintained, especially if<br>it forms part of a sustainable drainage<br>system (SUDS). The modern method<br>for financing essential ongoing<br>maintenance is to place a charge on<br>the properties in the development in<br>the same way that owners of flats pay<br>a charge for the maintenance of<br>shared assets such as the roof, lobby,<br>corridors, stairs etc. The final SPG<br>should explicitly call for the use of such<br>charges to ensure the maintenance of<br>essential green infrastructure. | This is covered in para 8.1  | No changes proposed   |
|                       | <b>Open Space Design Principles.</b> We recommend the design principles be given a slightly different emphasis,   | Comments noted and amendments will be made   | All pictures to be taken out and replaced with more appropriate best practice examples. |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation   | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document   |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
|                       | because providing examples can be<br>problematic in an area that often<br>requires careful analysis and design<br>solutions to the issues of a particular<br>site.  | to the SPG to reflect this issue.   |  |
|                       | The provision of open space within<br>new residential development, and<br>enhancement of existing spaces<br>requires co-ordinated planning and<br>design if the full wellbeing benefits for<br>communities – existing and future are<br>to be realised. The following principles<br>collectively provide a way to make<br>resilient planning decisions for open<br>space planning:<br>Ensure areas of open space are a<br>planned and integral component of the<br>residential layout;<br>Green space must be located for<br>best affect depending on the range of<br>wellbeing functions and priorities it<br>needs to address - based upon the<br>site, its proposed use, context,<br>wellbeing needs and priorities;<br>The open space assessment and<br>site contextual analysis should help<br>identify wellbeing needs and priorities<br>in the vicinity of the site, including | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | <ul> <li>All text provided to be included in SPG after para 9.2.1 Open space design principles:</li> <li>6.22 The facility needs to be: <ul> <li>easily maintained and in keeping with natural character features which merit retention and protection</li> <li>an important part of the scheme and which contributes to its character (and not a mere afterthought);</li> <li>usable to its full potential, for example one reasonably sized space, with integrated smaller landscaped areas, might be better than a wide distribution of small pockets of informal</li> </ul> </li> <li>9.2.2 <ul> <li>Ensure areas of open space are a planned and integral component of the residential layout;</li> <li>Green space must be located for best</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|                       | areas in need of protection and enhancement;  |   | affect depending on the range of wellbeing functions and priorities it needs to address -  |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation  | Council's response | Changes proposed to draft document   |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------|--|
|                       | Community consultation will be<br>necessary where proposals concern<br>existing open space and where new<br>open space is intended to provide a<br>shared resource between new<br>residents of the development and the<br>existing community;<br>The range of wellbeing functions that<br>open space can incorporate is diverse<br>and may include –<br>Social spaces for play, access to<br>nature, informal recreation, food<br>growing, dog walking;<br>Accessibility on foot and bicycle both<br>within the site and to wider active travel<br>networks;<br>Working with existing green<br>infrastructure – conserving and<br>enhancing natural elements such as<br>trees, habitats, species connectivity<br>and drainage;<br>Amenity – creating attractive safe<br>places for people, segregation of noisy<br>and quiet site uses, mitigating the<br>impacts of traffic noise and emissions,<br>surface water management to protect<br>from flooding;<br>Landscape design techniques are<br>useful to draw the diverse physical |                    | <ul> <li>based upon the site, its proposed use, context, wellbeing needs and priorities;</li> <li>The open space assessment and site contextual analysis should help identify wellbeing needs and priorities in the vicinity of the site, including areas in need of protection and enhancement;</li> <li>Community consultation will be necessary where proposals concern existing open space and where new open space is intended to provide a shared resource between new residents of the development and the existing community;</li> <li>The range of wellbeing functions that open space can incorporate is diverse and may include –</li> <li>Social spaces for play, access to nature, informal recreation, food growing, dog walking;</li> <li>Accessibility on foot and bicycle both within the site and to wider active travel networks;</li> <li>Working with existing green infrastructure – conserving and enhancing natural elements such as trees, habitats,</li> </ul> |
|                       | components together into a co-<br>ordinated place-based implementable  |                    | species connectivity and drainage;   |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation  | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document  |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|
|                       | plan. This will help ensure wellbeing<br>functions, sense of place, and the<br>needs of people across different age<br>ranges and abilities are addressed;<br>Standard solutions and standard<br>play schemes do not realise wellbeing<br>benefits to the extent that site specific<br>planning and design can.  |   | <ul> <li>Amenity – creating attractive safe<br/>places for people, segregation of noisy and<br/>quiet site uses, mitigating the impacts of<br/>traffic noise and emissions, surface water<br/>management to protect from flooding;</li> <li>Landscape design techniques are<br/>useful to draw the diverse physical<br/>components together into a co-ordinated<br/>place-based implementable plan. This will<br/>help ensure wellbeing functions, sense of<br/>place, and the needs of people across<br/>different age ranges and abilities are<br/>addressed;</li> <li>Standard solutions and standard<br/>play schemes do not realise wellbeing<br/>benefits to the extent that site specific</li> </ul> |
|                       | The example quoted in 6.22 does not<br>demonstrate an understanding of the<br>use of green infrastructure to deliver<br>ecosystem services. Whilst it might be<br>cost effective to provide a single<br>football pitch, rather than several kick-<br>about areas, green infrastructure must<br>be provided in the places, sizes and<br>types required in order to deliver the<br>services demanded. For example,<br>small, grassed infiltration basins and<br>rain gardens will need to be dispersed<br>throughout a development in order to | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | <ul> <li>planning and design can.</li> <li>9.3.3 Small areas of Amenity greenspace<br/>can also have multiple roles, for example, as<br/>grassed infiltration basins and rain gardens<br/>which are shallow landscaped depressions<br/>and can deal with rainwater. This green<br/>infrastructure should be designed into the<br/>overall plan for open space in new<br/>developments.</li> <li>9.6.3 Picture 3 Green infrastructure, rain<br/>gardens taken from Susdrain</li> </ul>   |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation  | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document  |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|
|                       | deal with rain water, and these should<br>be designed into the overall plan for<br>recreational open space. This<br>paragraph should be re-written in the<br>final version to reflect the current<br>approaches to green infrastructure<br>provision.  |   |   |
|                       | <b>Community Engagement:</b> the<br>sentiments in 6.23 are to be welcomed,<br>but for local communities to be able to<br>make an informed choice, community<br>engagement must follow best practice  | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | Paragraph 7.23 New open space areas and<br>recreation facilities should be designed to a<br>high standard and applicants should consult<br>with the public through interactive face to<br>face meetings located in their area, in<br>finding out what they want in terms of design<br>and layout. |
|                       | For new residential sites that just meet<br>the threshold for open space provision,<br>the amount of open space generated<br>might make it more of an amenity to its<br>future residents, rather<br>than existing communities, so it is<br>important to be clear about the likely<br>design and functional requirements of<br>open space with developers from the<br>outset and when community<br>engagement is necessary. | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. |   |
|                       | Amenity Green Spaces. Mention of<br>biodiversity enhancements in section<br>6.34 is to be welcomed, but the<br>impression is given throughout this<br>section that close-mown grass is   | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | 9.2.3 They can be maintained grassed<br>areas or areas where they have been left to<br>grow wild and natural in order to conserve<br>the biodiversity of the area.  |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation  | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document  |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|
|                       | acceptable, and this impression must<br>be corrected. Numerous studies have<br>shown that "meadow" regimes, rich in<br>flowering plants (both native and<br>carefully selected introductions) are<br>both cheaper to maintain, and more<br>beneficial to both people and wildlife.<br>Section 6.35 propagates the myth that<br>green space must be "open" in order to<br>deter crime. In fact, proper design,<br>including trees is important to both<br>deter crime, and reduce the stress<br>which causes people to commit violent<br>acts in the first place. This part of the<br>guidance appears to contradict the<br>advice given later in 6.397 and must be<br>re-written to take into account modern<br>research findings on the beneficial<br>effects of green space on crime<br>reduction. | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | <ul> <li>9.3.3 The site needs to be open which allows for visual amenity and safety, as reducing the likelihood of crimes occurring-is greatly reduced.</li> <li>9.9.2 Open and accessible natural and semi natural greenspace can improve the safety of areas and reduce the likelihood of crimes occurring. Studies such as that done by Donovan and Prestermon (2010) has shown that the presence of trees is perceived as indicating a more cared for neighbourhood and the presence of street trees was associated with a decreased incidence of crime.</li> </ul> |
|                       | <b>Flood Risk.</b> Section 6.36 mentions the use of green space to help manage floods and this is to be welcomed.<br>However, the Welsh Government's Non-Statutory SUDS standards set out what developers should be expected to achieve to manage flooding from both   | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | 9.3.4 The Welsh Government's SUDS<br>standards can be found at the following link<br>and it is recommended to consider these<br>guidelines when planning for open space<br>sites in new developments.   |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation   | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document  |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|
|                       | rainwater and water-courses by using<br>green infrastructure. This guidance<br>should include a prominent section on<br>the management of rain and flood<br>water, and must make clear reference<br>to the Welsh Government's SUDS<br>standards.  |   | http://gov.wales/docs/desh/publications/151<br>230-suds-standards-en.pdf  |
|                       | Lighting 6.394. Lighting of important<br>community routes is likely to be<br>desirable, but not all footpaths will<br>need illumination. Some rural<br>communities might for example be<br>enjoyed for their night time tranquillity<br>and lighting within public open space<br>would have unnecessary impact. Some<br>qualification here about the need for<br>lighting based upon awareness of<br>context and community need for night<br>time access would be helpful, and<br>down lighting to avoid light to avoid<br>unnecessary proliferation of lighting. | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | <ul> <li>9.3.8 Lights help to reduce the fear of crime therefore they should be installed installation along paths for natural surveillance important community routes is likely to be desirable, but not all footpaths will need illumination. Some rural communities might for example be enjoyed for their night time tranquillity and lighting within public open space would have unnecessary impact.</li> <li>Down lighting to avoid unnecessary proliferation of lighting could be an option and where possible, lights should be solar powered.</li> <li>Picture 5 taken from Skanska, Sustainable, low-energy, cost-efficient exterior lighting, Gloucester</li> </ul> |
|                       | <b>Provision for Children and Young</b><br><b>People.</b> Section 6.4 is a shining<br>example of the standards to which the<br>rest of this guidance should aspire. It  | Support Welcomed  | No changes proposed   |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation  | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document  |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|
|                       | gives modern, evidence-based advice<br>in sufficient detail to guide developers<br>and is to be welcomed. The advice on<br>planting to enhance play provision and<br>children's access to nature in 6.596 is<br>to be welcomed.  |   |   |
|                       | Outdoor Sports Facilities. Mention of<br>the need to conserve features for<br>biodiversity in 6.64 is to be welcomed.<br>However, paragraph 6.62 appears to<br>contradict itself when emphasising the<br>need for outdoor sport facilities. Whilst<br>the proper provision of sports facilities<br>to the standards set out by Fields In<br>Trust is important, the guidance should<br>also emphasise the need to cater for<br>informal sports such as trail running<br>and mountain biking which are enjoyed<br>by more people than those who<br>participate in organised, team sports. | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | <ul> <li>9.6.3 Whilst the proper provision of sports facilities to the standards set out by Fields In Trust are important, it is also important to emphasise the need to cater for informal sports such as trail running and mountain biking which are enjoyed by more people than those who participate in organised, team sports.</li> <li>9.7 Other informal Outdoor sports facilities</li> <li>9.7.1 It is important to consider the provision of other forms of informal outdoor sports facilities. The pictures below are a few examples of the types of facilities that are appearing more frequently now and may be an opportunity for development contributions to go towards the enhancement of existing sports facilities such as playing fields and sports pitches with the development of a fitness trail or outdoor gym around the outside of it.</li> <li>7.672 Picture 10 an example of an outdoor gym adjacent to a playing field taken from NHS Forest</li> </ul> |

| Name,<br>Organisation   | Summary of Representation  | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document |
|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
|   | <b>Pictures</b> 4 to 7 illustrate a good mix of<br>play opportunities within a colourful<br>scheme. It is unfortunate that more<br>natural greenspace components such<br>as tree planting, diversity in grass<br>cover and wild flowers, play boulders<br>etc. hasn't been incorporated. It would<br>be worth including a range of images<br>from other<br>www.naturalresourceswales.gov.uk<br>www.cyfoethnaturiolcymru.gov.uk<br>projects across the UK to provide<br>examples of inspirational approaches<br>that can be applied in the design of<br>Denbighshire's open spaces. | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue. | New pictures to replace 4-7        |
|   | Management of Development. This<br>section offers another chance to<br>emphasise the importance of modern<br>financing methods, such as a charge<br>upon home-owners in new<br>developments for the maintenance of<br>communal open spaces and SUDS<br>assets. See the comments on<br>paragraph 5.15 for more details.   | This is covered in Para 8.1   | No changes proposed                |
| Klauss<br>Armstrong-<br>Braun,<br>member of the<br>Open Spaces<br>Society | I welcome and support the draft<br>guidance the Denbighshire county<br>council draft supplementary planning<br>guidance note which if introduced will<br>give added protection to open space in<br>the county.   | Support Welcomed  | No changes proposed                |

| Name,<br>Organisation   | Summary of Representation  | Council's response   | Changes proposed to draft document  |
|---|--|--|---|
| Tim Bettany-<br>Simmons,<br>Canal and<br>River Trust  | No comment to make on the document   | Support welcomed   | No changes proposed   |
| Health Impact<br>Assessment<br>with members<br>departments of<br>DCC and<br>NRW<br>facilitated by<br>Public Health<br>Wales | <ul> <li>-include other options in document eg.<br/>Kissing gate- Ruthin, Cut- Rhyl</li> <li>- Recognition of local need- fit to meet<br/>their cultural/ social needs</li> <li>- Population within proposed<br/>development to reflect offer eg. Starter<br/>homes- access to paths etc, tie in with<br/>Active Travel Route</li> </ul> | Comments noted and<br>amendments will be made<br>to the SPG to reflect this<br>issue.  | <ul> <li>9.8.2 Contributions from developers could be spent on improvements to accessibility of Public Rights Of Way (PROW) should they directly be impacted by a new development, for example the implementation of kissing gates (and with wheelchair access where possible) instead of stiles which allows accessibility to a wider proportion of the population who may not be able to use stiles see picture 13.</li> <li>9.8.2 Contributions from developers could be spent on improvements to accessibility of Public Rights Of Way (PROW) should they directly be impacted by a new development,</li> </ul> |
|   | -Types of Open Spaces need more<br>detail  | Definitions are provided in<br>TAN 16. See 5.32. They<br>are explained in more<br>detail in each section<br>under the Design<br>Guidance: Amenity<br>greenspace, Outdoor | 5.4.4 According to FIT, Outdoor Sport<br>Facilities (including playing pitches) can<br>include pitch sports including association<br>football, rugby union, rugby league, hockey,<br>lacrosse, cricket and American football.<br>Other outdoor sports includes courts and<br>greens comprising of natural or artificial<br>surfaces, including tennis courts, bowling<br>greens, athletics tracks and other outdoor   |

| Name,<br>Organisation | Summary of Representation   | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document   |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
|                       | - Natural environment sites absent  | sports facilities, provision<br>for children and young<br>people, Green corridors<br>and Active travel networks<br>natural and semi natural<br>greenspaces. | sports areas. Children's equipped<br>playspace is defined as designated areas<br>for children and young people containing a<br>range of facilities and an environment that<br>has been designed to provided focused<br>opportunities for outdoor play comprising<br>casual or informal playing space within<br>housing areas. Most of these sites are<br>defined as Local Equipped Areas for Play<br>(LEAPs). Children's informal space has<br>been defined as informal recreation spaces,<br>communal green spaces in and around<br>housing, and village greens. This can also<br>define the term 'Amenity greenspace'. |
|                       | <ul> <li>Improvements and retention of<br/>habitats and environments/ species<br/>NRW mapping of Natural Greenspace-<br/>share with Planning</li> <li>NRW Green Infrastructure Policy-<br/>share with Planning</li> </ul> | See amendments made to<br>NRW responses   | No changes proposed  |
|                       | - Creating access links to the countryside  |   | 9.8.1 Green Corridors are opportunity led<br>due to the location of a watercourse or<br>footpath for example. However they are an<br>important facility often providing<br>opportunities for sustainable travel and of<br>importance to the biodiversity of<br>local areas. Although standards have not<br>been set for green corridors it is  |

| Summary of Representation  | Council's response  | Changes proposed to draft document  |
|--|---|---|
| Conflict- school playing fields/<br>laygrounds- access denied!<br>More photographs to be included of<br>est practice examples<br>A glossary on terms<br>Consider people 'in between' young<br>nd old | The Education Department<br>make the decision on the<br>access of school sites.<br>This SPG focuses on the<br>development of new sites<br>and therefore this issue is<br>beyond the scope of this<br>SPG. | <ul> <li>important that they are provided where the opportunity arises to improve links</li> <li>between open spaces or as routes to local facilities. It is also appropriate to improve the quality of green corridors where possible. Due to this, contributions can be used to improve the quantity and quality of green corridors where the opportunity arises. Green corridors support the Green Infrastructure Strategy.</li> <li>No changes proposed</li> <li>See all new pictures</li> <li>Included on page 3</li> <li>9.5.4 Consideration should be given to different equipment that can serve all ages from toddlers to older children and teenagers.</li> </ul> |
|  | Conflict- school playing fields/<br>aygrounds- access denied!<br>More photographs to be included of<br>est practice examples<br>A glossary on terms<br>Consider people 'in between' young                 | Conflict- school playing fields/<br>aygrounds- access denied!<br>More photographs to be included of<br>est practice examples<br>A glossary on terms<br>Consider people 'in between' young   |